

DSF-T-8/99

NORDITA-99/16 HE

March 1999

CONSISTENT OFF-SHELL TREE STRING AMPLITUDES ¹Antonella Liccardo and Franco Pezzella ²*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli and I.N.F.N., Sezione di Napoli,
Mostra d'Oltremare, pad. 19, I-80125 Napoli, Italy*Raffaele Marotta ³*Nordita, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark***Abstract**

We give a construction of off-shell tree bosonic string amplitudes, based on the operatorial formalism of the N -string Vertex, with three external massless states both for open and closed strings by requiring their being projective invariant. In particular our prescription leads, in the low-energy limit, to the three-gluon amplitude in the usual covariant gauge.

¹Work partially supported by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-0045 (Nordita-Copenhagen and Università di Napoli)

²e-mail: Name.Surname@na.infn.it

³e-mail: marotta@nbivms.nbi.dk

One of the main reasons for studying off-shell string amplitudes is the investigation of the field theory limit of string theories where the inverse string tension $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ [1] [2]. A detailed analysis of the relation between string theory and field theory is non-trivial and potentially very powerful since string theory manages to organize scattering amplitudes in a very compact form and in a considerably low number of diagrams. In particular, closed strings can be used to shed light on perturbative quantum gravity [3].

Furthermore, off-shell string amplitudes are relevant in studying processes which involve interactions among D-branes [4].

Off-shell extensions of such amplitudes have been studied a great deal until now [1] [2] [5] ÷ [10] and different prescriptions have been given according to the pursued approaches.

In this letter we use the operatorial formalism of the N -string g -loop Vertex $V_{N;g}$ [11] for computing tree open and closed string amplitudes with three external massless states. This simple case results to be very clarifying about the prescription to use for the analysis of off-shell string physics.

In the framework of the same formalism, in two recent papers [12] [13], off-shell one-loop amplitudes with an arbitrary number of external massless particles have been computed both for open and closed strings.

The N -string g -loop Vertex $V_{N;g}$ depends on which local holomorphic coordinate system ω_i is used around the puncture P_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, through which the N -th external state is inserted on the world-sheet. One can introduce a single holomorphic coordinate z so that each local coordinate ω_i can be expressed as

$$\omega_i = V_i^{-1}(z) \tag{1}$$

or

$$z = V_i(z), \tag{2}$$

where $V_i(z)$ is a conformal transformation. Since it is conventional to take $\omega_i(P_i) = 0$, it follows that $z_i \equiv z(P_i) = V_i(0)$. The N quantities z_i correspond to the so-called Koba-Nielsen variables.

A choice of a local coordinate system around the puncture P_i can be regarded as a sort of a *gauge* choice. When $V_{N;g}$ is saturated with N physical string states satisfying the mass-shell conditions, the corresponding amplitude does not depend on the V_i 's. If these conditions are released, then the dependence of $V_{N;g}$ on them is transferred to the off-shell amplitude. This is analogous to what happens in gauge theories, where on-shell amplitudes are gauge invariant, while their off-shell counterparts are not.

From the gauge choice made for the local maps it depends the field theory *gauge-fixed* Lagrangian which generates the amplitudes to be compared with the string theory ones in the low-energy limit. In fact one does not know a priori what gauge-fixing is chosen by the string when the field theory limit is extracted from its amplitudes.

The results obtained in ref. [2] in the one-loop case show that it is possible to perform choices of V_i which, in the case of open bosonic strings, reproduce the field theory amplitudes obtained by using the background gauge.

Hence it arises the necessity to define the assumptions underlying the choice of the functions V_i 's.

The basic assumption we make in this work is that *off-shell amplitudes have to be projective invariant*; indeed projective invariance, or Möbius invariance, is a crucial property if off-shell finiteness and factorization are required [14]. The choice of local maps around the punctures has therefore to guarantee this invariance.

In the specific case of the amplitude for three massless states it turns out that, in the limit $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$, it depends on the choice of V_i only through the ratio $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$. Requiring its being projective invariant in this limit allows to select a set of functions of Koba-Nielsen variables (z_1, z_2, z_3) depending on one parameter. The value of this latter can be fixed by requiring that the sum over all the anticyclic permutations of the lowest order term in α' , providing the field theory tree scattering amplitudes for photons, be identically zero. In this way we univocally determine the ratio $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$.

Furthermore, requiring that the complete amplitude, and not only its low-energy limit, be projective invariant univocally fixes $V_i'(0)$ that turns out to coincide with the first derivative evaluated at $z = 0$ of the projective transformation which maps the

points $\infty, 0, 1$ respectively in z_{i-1}, z_i, z_{i+1} and which corresponds to the so-called Lovelace choice [15]. But we would like here to stress that the Lovelace choice does not reproduce the value of $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$ compatible with our requirement of projective invariance.

It is possible to show that the value of $V_i'(0)$ so found is the one that makes the tree level string Green function to reduce to a particle Green function in the field theory limit [16].

The above prescription yields an expression of the off-shell three-gluon string amplitude, at tree level, which reproduces the corresponding one in field theory in the most natural gauge, i.e. the usual covariant gauge, and also coincides with the one obtained by the background field method that is the technique in which results concerning higher orders are obtained in literature [2] [17].

Our starting point is the N -string 0-loop vertex for N massless closed bosonic strings:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{N;0}^c = C_0 < \Omega | \int [dm^c]_N^0 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} \partial_z + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(i)} \partial_{\bar{z}} \right] \ln |V_i'(z)|^2 \Big|_{z=0} \right\} \\
\times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} V_i'(0) \partial_{z_i} + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(i)} \bar{V}_i'(0) \partial_{\bar{z}_i} \right] \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_j + \alpha_1^{(j)} V_j'(0) \partial_{z_j} + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(j)} \bar{V}_j'(0) \partial_{\bar{z}_j} \right] \right. \\
\left. \times \ln |z_i - z_j| \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $< \Omega |$ is the direct product of the vacuum states of the Fock spaces relative to the oscillators $\alpha^{(i)}$ and $\bar{\alpha}^{(i)}$, while the measure on the moduli space for a Riemann surface of genus $g = 0$ is:

$$[dm^c]_N^0 \equiv \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N d^2 z_i |z_A - z_B|^2 |z_A - z_C|^2 |z_B - z_C|^2}{\prod_{i=1}^N |V_i'(0)|^2 d^2 z_A d^2 z_B d^2 z_C}. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore, the normalization constant C_0 is given by $4\pi^3/\alpha' k^2$, where k is the gravitational coupling constant. In the following our convention will be to use a superscript only for closed string objects and not for the open string ones; it will be denoted by c . Through the introduction of the tree level two-point Green function for closed strings,

defined as:

$$G^c(z_i, z_j) = \ln \left| \frac{z_i - z_j}{\sqrt{V'_i(0)V'_j(0)}} \right|, \quad (5)$$

the operator (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{N;0}^c = C_0 < \Omega | \int [dm^c]_N^0 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} \partial_z + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(i)} \partial_{\bar{z}} \right] \ln |V'_i(z)|^2 |_{z=0} \right\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^N \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} V'_i(0) \partial_{z_i} + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(i)} \bar{V}'_i(0) \partial_{\bar{z}_i} \right] \cdot \left[\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} p_j + \alpha_1^{(j)} V'_j(0) \partial_{z_j} + \bar{\alpha}_1^{(j)} \bar{V}'_j(0) \partial_{\bar{z}_j} \right] \right. \\ \left. \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln |V'_i(0)V'_j(0)| + G^c(z_i, z_j) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In order to get off-shell scattering amplitudes among N massless external particles, we have to saturate $V_{N;0}^c$ on the N corresponding states, defined by:

$$|\varepsilon, p\rangle = \frac{k}{\pi} \varepsilon_{\lambda\rho} \alpha_{-1}^\lambda \alpha_{-1}^\rho |0, p\rangle \text{ in the closed string case,} \quad (7)$$

$$|\varepsilon, p\rangle = g_d \sqrt{2\alpha'} \varepsilon_\lambda \alpha_{-1}^\lambda |0, p\rangle \text{ in the open string case,} \quad (8)$$

with g_d being the gauge coupling constant of the target space Yang-Mills theory, and to release the on-shell conditions, i.e.:

$$p^2 = 0 \quad \varepsilon \cdot p = 0. \quad (9)$$

At tree level, the N -string Vertex does not contain any operator that mixes the left and right sectors of the closed bosonic string, which implies that a tree closed string amplitude can be simply factorized into two tree open string amplitudes [18]. Hence, in restricting ourselves to compute V_3^c , we consider one sector of the operator given in eq. (4) and write it properly for $N = 3$ in the case of open bosonic strings. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{3;0}^o = C_0^{open} < \Omega | \int [dm]_3^0 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sqrt{2\alpha'} p_i \cdot \left[\sqrt{2\alpha'} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} \partial_z \right] \ln V'_i(z) |_{z=0} \right\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^3 \left[\sqrt{2\alpha'} p_i + \alpha_1^{(i)} V'_i(0) \partial_{z_i} \right] \cdot \left[\sqrt{2\alpha'} p_j + \alpha_1^{(j)} V'_j(0) \partial_{z_j} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln(V'_i(0)V'_j(0)) + G(z_i, z_j) \right] \} \quad (10)$$

with $C_0^{open} = 1/g_d^2 \alpha'^2$ and where $G(z_i, z_j)$ is the open string two-point function at tree level, which is related to the corresponding closed string one by:

$$G^c(z_i, z_j) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{z_i - z_j}{\sqrt{V'_i(0)V'_j(0)}} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j}{\sqrt{\bar{V}'_i(0)\bar{V}'_j(0)}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [G(z_i, z_j) + G(\bar{z}_i, \bar{z}_j)]. \quad (11)$$

We would like here to observe that in the three-string case the integration is absolutely fictitious. Indeed, through the identification $z_A = z_1, z_B = z_2, z_C = z_3$, the measure $[dm]_3^0$ merely reduces to:

$$[dm]_3^0 \equiv \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_3)}{\prod_{i=1}^3 (V'_i(0))}. \quad (12)$$

We do not want now to perform any explicit choice of the local holomorphic coordinate $V_i(z)$, our purpose being to get some constraints on this function by requiring the projective invariance of the amplitude. Indeed, by saturating the operator (10) on three states as the ones defined in (8), without specifying any choice of the local holomorphic function, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^3 &= 4g_d (2\alpha')^{-\frac{1}{2}} (z_1 - z_2)^{1+2\alpha'p_1 \cdot p_2} (z_1 - z_3)^{1+2\alpha'p_1 \cdot p_3} (z_2 - z_3)^{1+2\alpha'p_2 \cdot p_3} e^{\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha' p_i^2 \ln V'_i(0)} \\ &\times \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} \left\{ (2\alpha')^{\frac{3}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{p_1^\lambda}{2} \frac{V_1''(0)}{V_1'(0)^2} + \frac{p_2^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_2)} + \frac{p_3^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_3)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\times \left(\frac{p_2^\mu}{2} \frac{V_2''(0)}{V_2'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\mu}{(z_2 - z_1)} + \frac{p_3^\mu}{(z_2 - z_3)} \right) \\ &\times \left. \left(\frac{p_3^\nu}{2} \frac{V_3''(0)}{V_3'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\nu}{(z_3 - z_1)} + \frac{p_2^\nu}{(z_3 - z_2)} \right) \right] \\ &+ (2\alpha')^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\frac{\eta^{\lambda\mu}}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \left(\frac{p_3^\nu}{2} \frac{V_3''(0)}{V_3'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\nu}{(z_3 - z_1)} + \frac{p_2^\nu}{(z_3 - z_2)} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\eta^{\lambda\nu}}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \left(\frac{p_2^\mu}{2} \frac{V_2''(0)}{V_2'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\mu}{(z_2 - z_1)} + \frac{p_3^\mu}{(z_2 - z_3)} \right) \\ &\left. \left. + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{(z_2 - z_3)^2} \left(\frac{p_1^\lambda}{2} \frac{V_1''(0)}{V_1'(0)^2} + \frac{p_2^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_2)} + \frac{p_3^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_3)} \right) \right] \right\}. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

In the low-energy limit the only contribution that survives is:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0^3(\alpha' \rightarrow 0) = 4g_d \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} & \left\{ \frac{\eta^{\lambda\mu}}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \left(\frac{p_3^\nu V_3''(0)}{2 V_3'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\nu}{(z_3 - z_1)} + \frac{p_2^\nu}{(z_3 - z_2)} \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\eta^{\lambda\nu}}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \left(\frac{p_2^\mu V_2''(0)}{2 V_2'(0)^2} + \frac{p_1^\mu}{(z_2 - z_1)} + \frac{p_3^\mu}{(z_2 - z_3)} \right) \\
& \left. + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{(z_2 - z_1)^2} \left(\frac{p_1^\lambda V_1''(0)}{2 V_1'(0)^2} + \frac{p_2^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_2)} + \frac{p_3^\lambda}{(z_1 - z_3)} \right) \right\} \\
& \times (z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_3). \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

This amplitude results to be projective invariant if it is satisfied the following constraint for $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$

$$\frac{V_i''(0)}{(V_i'(0))^2} = 2 \frac{(z_i - z_{i+1}) - \ell (z_{i+1} - z_{i-1})}{(z_i - z_{i+1})(z_i - z_{i-1})} \tag{15}$$

where ℓ is a free parameter. Then there exist, as solutions, a set of functions of the Koba-Nielsen variables parametrized by ℓ . In order to choose a value for that parameter, we impose our low-energy amplitude to reproduce a gauge independent result such as the one relative to the three-photon scattering amplitude which is identically zero. For extracting this amplitude we sum the expression (14) over all the anticyclic permutations of the indices (1, 2, 3) getting:

$$A_0^3(\text{photons}) = 4g_d \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} (2\ell + 1) \left\{ \eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_1^\nu + p_2^\nu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_3^\lambda + p_2^\lambda) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_1^\mu + p_3^\mu) \right\} = 0 \tag{16}$$

which is satisfied only for

$$\ell = -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{17}$$

With this value of the parameter ℓ the eq. (15) becomes

$$\frac{V_i''(0)}{(V_i'(0))^2} = \frac{1}{(z_i - z_{i+1})} + \frac{1}{(z_i - z_{i-1})}. \tag{18}$$

It is easy to check that the Lovelace function does not satisfy the equation (18). By substituting (18) into (14) we get the following expression of the low-energy limit of the amplitude in consideration:

$$A_0^3(\alpha' \rightarrow 0) = 2g_d \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} \left\{ \eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_1^\nu - p_2^\nu) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_3^\mu - p_1^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_2^\lambda - p_3^\lambda) \right\}. \tag{19}$$

The choice (18) makes the entire amplitude (13) to become:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0^3 &= g_d(z_1 - z_2)^{2\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_2} (z_1 - z_3)^{2\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_3} (z_2 - z_3)^{2\alpha' p_2 \cdot p_3} \\
&\times \prod_{i=1}^3 V_i'(0)^{\alpha' p_i^2} \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} \left\{ (2\alpha') \left[\frac{1}{2} (p_2^\lambda - p_3^\lambda) (p_3^\mu - p_1^\mu) (p_1^\nu - p_2^\nu) \right] + \right. \\
&\left. + 2 \left[\eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_1^\nu - p_2^\nu) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_3^\mu - p_1^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_2^\lambda - p_3^\lambda) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

If this latter amplitude has to be projective invariant, then $V_i(z)$ must satisfy the following equation:

$$(z_1 - z_2)^{2\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_2} (z_1 - z_3)^{2\alpha' p_1 \cdot p_3} (z_2 - z_3)^{2\alpha' p_2 \cdot p_3} \prod_{i=1}^3 [V_i'(0)]^{\alpha' p_i^2} = \text{const.} \tag{21}$$

From (18) we know that $V_i'(0)$ must be a function of all the punctures, then we can conjecture it to be written as follows:

$$V_i'(0) = (z_i - z_{i+1})^a (z_i - z_{i-1})^b (z_{i+1} - z_{i-1})^c \tag{22}$$

where a, b, c , are unknown exponents to be determined by resolving the eq. (21). Indeed, by inserting (22) into (21) we get the following system equations for a, b, c :

$$\begin{cases} p_1^2 a + p_2^2 b + p_3^2 c = -2p_1 \cdot p_2 \\ p_3^2 a + p_1^2 b + p_2^2 c = -2p_1 \cdot p_3 \\ p_2^2 a + p_3^2 b + p_1^2 c = -2p_3 \cdot p_2. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

This system admits a unique solution that, using the momentum conservation, is:

$$\begin{cases} a = 1 \\ b = 1 \\ c = -1. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

Plugging it into (22) yields:

$$V_i'(0) = \frac{(z_i - z_{i+1})(z_i - z_{i-1})}{(z_{i+1} - z_{i-1})}. \tag{25}$$

It implies that all the tree Green functions involved in the three-string case and defined by eq. (11) vanish. The eq. (25) corresponds to the first derivative of the Lovelace function $V_i(z)$ evaluated at $z = 0$ [15].

By requiring, then, the projective invariance of the low-energy limit of the amplitude (14) and it to reproduce the three-photon scattering amplitude, we could fix the ratio $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$; at the same time, by requiring the projective invariance of the scattering amplitude (14) we get a specific prescription for the function $V_i'(0)$. If the local holomorphic coordinate system $V_i(z)$ is chosen to be a projective transformation satisfying by construction the condition $V_i(0) = z_i$, we completely fix the function $V_i(z)$ by fixing $V_i'(0)$ and $V_i''(0)/(V_i'(0))^2$.

Introducing the choices (25) and (18) in (20) gives the following projective invariant three-open string off-shell amplitude:

$$A_0^3 = g_d \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} \left\{ (2\alpha') \left[\frac{1}{2} (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) \right] + \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left[\eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) \right] \right\}. \quad (26)$$

Let us now come back to the analysis of the field theory limit of the open string amplitude. We are interested in the evaluation of the three-gluon scattering amplitude. As it is well-known, at tree level we need to sum the expression in (19) over all the anticyclic permutations of the indices (1, 2, 3) after having multiplied it by the Chan-Paton factor

$$\text{Tr} (\lambda_{a_1} \lambda_{a_2} \lambda_{a_3}). \quad (27)$$

In this object λ 's are the generators of the $SU(N)$ gauge group in the fundamental representation. Through the normalization conditions

$$\text{Tr} (\lambda_a \lambda_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \quad (28)$$

the Chan-Paton factor can be rewritten as:

$$\text{Tr} (\lambda_{a_1} \lambda_{a_2} \lambda_{a_3}) = \frac{1}{4} (f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} + d^{a_1 a_2 a_3}), \quad (29)$$

where f is an antisymmetric tensor with respect the internal indices a_i and d a symmetric one. An explicit evaluation of the three-gluon amplitude yields:

$$A_0^3(\text{gluons}) = g_d \varepsilon_\lambda^{(1)} \varepsilon_\mu^{(2)} \varepsilon_\nu^{(3)} f^{abc} \left\{ \eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_1^\nu - p_2^\nu) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_3^\mu - p_1^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_2^\lambda - p_3^\lambda) \right\}. \quad (30)$$

This expression coincides with the one of the three-gluon scattering amplitude obtained through a covariant quantization of a non abelian gauge theory with gauge group $SU(N)$ or by using the background field method.

In computing a string amplitude in our formalism, the choice of the function $V_i(z)$ seems to be strictly connected with the gauge choice in the field theory limit of those amplitudes. Since the request of consistency, like that we have here made, together with the conjecture (22), completely fixes $V_i(z)$, it turns out that the only way in which the open string can reproduce the field theory gauge-dependent result of the three-gluon scattering amplitude at tree level is in the usual covariant gauge [2].

Let us analyze the closed string case, by extending to it the result in (19). We find that the scattering amplitude involving three external off-shell massless states admits the following low-energy limit:

$$A_0^{3c}(\alpha' \rightarrow 0) = k \varepsilon_{\lambda\rho}^{(1)} \varepsilon_{\mu\sigma}^{(2)} \varepsilon_{\nu\tau}^{(3)} \left\{ \left[\eta^{\lambda\mu} (p_1^\nu - p_2^\nu) + \eta^{\lambda\nu} (p_3^\mu - p_1^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\nu} (p_2^\lambda - p_3^\lambda) \right] \right. \\ \left. \times [\eta^{\rho\sigma} (p_1^\tau - p_2^\tau) + \eta^{\rho\tau} (p_3^\sigma - p_1^\sigma) + \eta^{\sigma\tau} (p_2^\rho - p_3^\rho)] \right\}. \quad (31)$$

After symmetrizing this expression to eliminate the contribution of the antisymmetric tensor, we get the scattering amplitude of three gravitons mixed with dilatons:

$$A_0^{3c}(\text{gravitons} \atop \text{dilatons}) = k \varepsilon_{\lambda\rho}^{(1)} \varepsilon_{\mu\sigma}^{(2)} \varepsilon_{\nu\tau}^{(3)} \left\{ I^{\lambda\rho\mu\sigma} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) (p_2^\tau - p_1^\tau) + I^{\lambda\rho\nu\tau} (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) (p_1^\sigma - p_3^\sigma) \right. \\ + I^{\mu\sigma\nu\tau} (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) (p_3^\rho - p_2^\rho) + \frac{1}{2} \left[I^{\lambda\rho\mu\tau} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) (p_1^\sigma - p_3^\sigma) \right. \\ + I^{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) + I^{\lambda\rho\mu\nu} (p_1^\sigma - p_3^\sigma) (p_2^\tau - p_1^\tau) + I^{\lambda\rho\sigma\nu} (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) (p_2^\tau - p_1^\tau) \\ + I^{\lambda\tau\mu\sigma} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) (p_3^\rho - p_2^\rho) + I^{\rho\tau\mu\sigma} (p_2^\nu - p_1^\nu) (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) + I^{\lambda\nu\mu\sigma} (p_3^\rho - p_2^\rho) (p_2^\tau - p_1^\tau) \\ + I^{\rho\nu\mu\sigma} (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) (p_2^\tau - p_1^\tau) + I^{\lambda\sigma\nu\tau} (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) (p_3^\rho - p_2^\rho) + I^{\lambda\mu\nu\tau} (p_1^\sigma - p_3^\sigma) (p_3^\rho - p_2^\rho) \\ \left. \left. + I^{\rho\sigma\nu\tau} (p_1^\mu - p_3^\mu) (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) + I^{\rho\mu\nu\tau} (p_1^\sigma - p_3^\sigma) (p_3^\lambda - p_2^\lambda) \right] \right\} \quad (32)$$

with

$$I_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{\alpha\gamma} \eta_{\beta\delta} + \eta_{\alpha\delta} \eta_{\beta\gamma}). \quad (33)$$

Although the De Donder gauge is commonly believed to be the preferred gauge choice in quantum gravity [19], there are some arguments [20] which suggest that it is not the one chosen by the bosonic closed string.

We have investigated this point by computing the expansion of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian coupled to a dilaton field with the De Donder gauge fixing term, up to the third order in the fields. On the complete Lagrangian we have performed the following transformations [3]:

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{D-2}}\tilde{\phi} \quad (34)$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{D-2}{2}}\tilde{\phi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{h}_{\mu}^{\mu}. \quad (35)$$

These have been determined by requiring that the propagator for $h_{\mu\nu}$ generated by the transformed Lagrangian were proportional to the unit tensor given in (33), that has a trivial Lorentz structure compatibly with the form of the string propagator which does not contain any Lorentz indices [3]. Then the computation of the three-point function from the Lagrangian so obtained has not reproduced the result shown in (32).

This means that, within this comprehension of decoupling gravitons and dilatons, and in agreement with the arguments of ref. [20], the closed string *does not choose, in the low-energy limit, the De Donder gauge*, which, naively, might be considered as the most natural counterpart of the usual covariant gauge in the open string case.

The authors thank L. Cappiello and R. Pettorino for helpful discussions and for a critical reading of a preliminary version of the paper.

A.L. thanks NORDITA for the kind hospitality; R.M. and F.P. respectively thank the Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche dell'Università di Napoli and NORDITA for the hospitality kindly provided to them in different stages of this work.

References

- [1] Z. Bern and D.A. Kosower, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 1888; *Nucl. Phys.* **B321** (1989) 605; *Nucl. Phys.* **B379** (1992) 451.
- [2] P. Di Vecchia, R. Marotta, A. Lerda, R. Russo and L. Magnea, *Nucl. Phys.* **B469** (1996) 235.
- [3] Z. Bern, D.C. Dunbar and T. Shimada, *Phys. Lett.* **B312** (1983) 277.
- [4] J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** (1995) 4727, hep-th/9510017;
S. Ramgoolam and L. Thorlacius, *Nucl. Phys.* **B483** (1997) 248, hep-th/9607113;
M. Billó, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, I. Pesando, R. Russo and S. Sciuto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B526** (1998) 199, hep-th/9802088.
- [5] E. F. Corrigan and D. B. Fairlie, *Nucl. Phys.* **B91** (1975) 527.
- [6] A. Cohen, G. Moore, P. Nelson and J. Polchinski, *Nucl. Phys.* **B281** (1987) 127.
- [7] S. Samuel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308** (1988) 285; O. Lechtenfeld and S. Samuel, *Nucl. Phys.* **B308** (1988) 361.
- [8] Z. Bern, D.A. Kosower and K. Roland, *Nucl. Phys.* **B344** (1990) 309.
- [9] R.C. Myers and V. Periwal, Talk given at the International Workshop on String Theory, Quantum Gravity and the Unification of Fundamental Interactions, Rome, 1992, hep-th/9211078.
- [10] A. Belopolsky and B. Zwiebach, *Nucl. Phys.* **B442** (1995) 494, hep-th/9409015.
- [11] P. Di Vecchia, F. Pezzella, M. Frau, A. Lerda, K. Hornfeck and S. Sciuto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B322** (1989) 317.
- [12] L. Cappiello, R. Marotta, R. Pettorino and F. Pezzella, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13** (1998) 2433, hep-th/9804032.

- [13] L. Cappiello, R. Marotta, R. Pettorino and F. Pezzella, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13** (1998) 2875, hep-th/9808164.
- [14] T. Kubota and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett.* **B207** (1988) 419.
- [15] C. Lovelace, *Phys. Lett.* **B32** (1970) 490.
- [16] K. Roland and H. Sato, *Nucl. Phys.* **B480** (1996) 99, hep-th/9604152; *Nucl. Phys.* **B515** (1998) 488, hep-th/9709019.
- [17] Z. Bern and D.C. Dunbar, *Nucl. Phys.* **B379** (1992) 451.
- [18] H. Kawai, D.C. Lewellen and S.-H.H. Tye, *Nucl. Phys.* **B269** (1986) 1.
- [19] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **B266**, 799 (1986).
- [20] Z. Bern, L. Dixon, D.C. Dunbar, M. Perelstein and J.S. Rozowsky, *Perturbative Relationships Between QCD and Gravity and Some Implications*, hep-th/9809163.